COURT FEE भारत INDIA TEN RUPEES CANCELLED

(3)

CH 1001

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR

W.P. No.1874 of 2003

DEBAJIT GHOSH SON OF SHRI D.B. GHOSE AGED ABOUT 23 YEARS RESIDENT OF 20-AKASH NAGAR NEAR GAYATRI NAGAR, RAIPUR [C.G.] **PETITIONER**

VERSUS

1. **STATE OF CHHATTISGARH, THROUGH THE SECRETARY, MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS, DKS BHAVAN, RAIPUR [C.G.]**
2. **ASHOK LAHEJA, AGED ABOUT 55 YEARS RESIDENT OF LAHEJA SADAN, OPPOSITE GOVERNER'S HOUSE, CIVIL LINES, RAIPUR [C.G.]**
3. **SMT. SUSHMA LAHEJA, WIFE OF SHRI ASHOK LAHEJA, AGED ABOUT 50 YEARS RESIDENT OF LAHEJA SADAN, OPPOSITE GOVERNER'S HOUSE, CIVIL LINES, RAIPUR [C.G.]** **RESPONDENT**

P. R. No. 1745/03 Presented by Shri B.P. Sharma dated 25.6.03

PETITION FOR A WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS UNDER ARTICLES 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

संभाग आयुक्त एस. के. शुक्ला बिलासपुर (छ.ग.) बिलासपुर तहसील कक्ष

25/6/03

RECEIVED COPY ON A.G. CHAMBER

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No.1874/ सन् 2003

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

(CAMERA PROCEEDINGS)

11.09.2003

As prayed, the matter was taken up in camera (in chamber).

Shri B.P. Sharma, Advocate with petitioner and his mother & sister.

Shri Gautam Bhaduri, Deputy Advocate General for the State/ respondent no.1.

Shri Bhishma Kinger with Miss Sona Kinger for respondents no.2 and 3.

Respondents no.2 & 3 and their daughter Ms.Natasha Laheja are present in person.

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that Ms. Natasha Laheja is the legally wedded wife of the petitioner. On the other hand Learned counsel for respondents no.2 & 3 submits that no marriage took place between the petitioner and Ms. Natasha. Her parents, respondents 2 & 3 herein and the petitioner with his mother & sister were sent out.

[पीछे देखिये

(67)

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	Learned counsel for the petitioner was given opportunity to put the questions to Ms.Natasha Laheja and in reply to the questions of learned counsel for the petitioner Ms.Natasha stated that she had never married with the petitioner and she does not want to go with the petitioner rather the petitioner is harassing and blackmailing her. Ms.Natasha has been questioned in the presence of the counsel for the parties. Her statement has been recorded separately in Chamber. She further stated that she is appearing for B.Com., final year examinations, she and the petitioner were working together and she is not under anybody's pressure, she wants to remain with her parents. After questioning, we are satisfied that she is not under anybody's influence and of her own she stated that she does not want to go with the petitioner and desired to remain with her parents. Therefore we cannot compel her and she being major she is entitled to go wherever she wants. Counsel for the parties, however, submit that they be given liberty to take recourse to other proceedings. Parties may proceed in accordance with law.	

[पीछे देखिये

(68)

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	Since Miss. Natasha Laheja alleges that the petitioner is black –mailing, due protection be provided. So far as this petition seeking writ of Habeas Corpus is concerned, in view of the facts and circumstances of the case, it is disposed of. Accordingly, I.A.No.5614/2003 also stands disposed of. **Sd/-** **Fakhruddin** **Judge**	**Sd/-** **L.C.BHADOO** **Judge**

[पीछे देखिये